

**REVISED TIME  
URGENT**

**Court will sit at 10:30 AM instead of 02:00 PM (due to cases to be taken up in other bench). All others remain same.**

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL**

**DAILY CAUSE LIST  
BENCH – GUWAHATI  
COURT NO. I**

**DATE : 07.12.2020**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MEMBER, (J) SHRI H. V. SUBBA RAO**

**TIME : 10.30 A.M.**


**HON'BLE MEMBER, (T) SHRI PRASANTA KUMAR MOHANTY**

**Through Video Conference**

Sl. No	CP/CAA/MA etc.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of the legal practitioner/Counselor	Remarks
1.	IA No. 53 of 2020 In CP(IB)/03/GB/2020	For report of Resolution Professional.	u/s 27 read with section 16 of IBC, 2016	Volkswagen Vs Manis Soni And Ors.	<b>For the petitioner :</b> Mr. Bikash Sharma, Adv. Mr. Hemen Das, adv. Mr. Dhanjit Kr. Nath, Adv. Mr. Jhuma Sharma, Adv. Ms. Anannya Gogoi, Adv. <b>For the respondent :</b> Mr. GP Madan, Adv. Mr. Aditya Madan, Adv.	
2.	CP No.13/252(3)/GB/2020	For ROC report.	u/s 252 (3)	M/s Mazel Infratech Pvt. Ltd. Vs ROC, Guwahati	<b>For the petitioner :</b> Mr. Biswajit Choudhury, Adv. Mr. Kaustav P. Pathak, Adv. <b>For the respondent :</b> Standing Counsel of ROC	
3.	CP No.12/252(3)/GB/2020	For ROC report.	u/s 252(3)	Soibam Technology Pvt. Ltd. & Soibam Arun Kumar Vs ROC, Guwahati	<b>For the petitioner :</b> Mr. Jnana Ranjan Dhal, Adv. <b>For the respondent :</b> Standing Counsel of ROC	
4.	CP(IB)No.07/GB/2020	For filing rejoinder.	u/s 7 of IBC, 2016.	PPG Asian Paints Pvt. Ltd. Vs Poddar Autocorp Pvt. Ltd.	<b>For the petitioner :</b> Mr. S. sharma, Adv <b>For the respondent :</b> Mr. V. Kumar, Adv	
5.	Cont. Appl. No.01 of 2019 In TP No.21/GB/2016 (CP No.188 of 2015)	For Hearing.	u/s 425 of Companies Act, 2013.	Smti. Hemaprava Devi Vs Rupak Mahanta	<b>For the petitioner :</b> Mr. P. Gaggar, CA Mr. Ujjal Kalita, CS <b>For the respondent :</b> Mr. P.K. Roy Choudhuri, Adv	

6.	CP No.15/252/GB/2020	For ROC report.	u/s 252 of Companies Act. 2013.	Jayss Tradecom Pvt. Ltd. And Another. Vs ROC, Guwahati.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. Yumnam Sudhir Singh, adv. <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Standing Counsel of ROC	
7.	Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011 (Disposed off on 27- 05-2011)	For Hearing.	u/s 425 of Companies Act, 2013.	3A Capital Pvt. Ltd. Vs Prag Boshimi Synthetics Ltd. & Ors.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. R. Panday, adv Mr. K.M. Mahanta, Adv  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. R.J. Das, Adv. Mrs. S. Saikia, Adv.	
8.	IA No.51 of 2019 In Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011	For Hearing.	u/r 7 of Contempt of Courts Rule, 1977.	Mrinal Kanti Das (Respondent No.5 in Contempt Application No.03 of 2018) Vs 3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. M. Roy, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
9.	IA No.52 of 2019 In Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011	For Hearing.	u/s 425 of Companies Act, 2013.	Hemanga Kishore Sharma (Respondent No.7 in Contempt Application No.03 of 2018) Vs 3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. M. Roy, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
10.	IA No.53 of 2019 In Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011	For Hearing.	u/r 7 of Contempt of Courts Rule, 1977.	Hemanga Kishore Sharma (Respondent No.7 in Contempt Application No.03 of 2018) Vs 3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. M. Roy, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
11.	IA No.54 of 2019 In Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011	For Hearing.	u/r 7 of Contempt of Courts Rule, 1977.	Deepali Rajneesh Pathak (Respondent No.8 in Contempt Application No.03 of 2018) Vs 3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. M. Roy, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
12.	CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For Further Considera tion	u/s 241 & 242 read with section 58 and 59 of Companies Act, 2013.	Ikramul Majid and another Vs Cleanopolis Energy System India Co. P. Ltd. & Ors	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. Ankur Jain, Adv Mr. somnath Das, Adv  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b> Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv Mr. A. Lahkar, Adv	

13.	IA No.62 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	New IA	u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Prokash Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b>	
14.	IA No.63 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	New IA	u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Rishikesh Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b>	
15.	CP No.16/252/GB/2020	New Petition	u/s 252 (3) of Companies Act. 2013.	Achint Roy Vs Tezpur Gurukul Pvt. Ltd. (R1) And ROC, Guwahati (R2)	<b><u>For the petitioner :</u></b> Mr. Pravin Kr. Chhajer, CS.  <b><u>For the respondent :</u></b>	

  
 (S.K. Das)  
 Deputy Registrar  
 NCLT, GB

O&E

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted if any.