NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL DAILY CAUSE LIST BENCH - GUWAHATI COURT NO. I

DATE: 05.05.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MEMBER, (J) SHRI H. V. SUBBA RAO

TIME: 10:30 A.M.

HON'BLE MEMBER, (T) SHRI PRASANTA KUMAR MOHANTY

Through Video Conference

SI. No	CP/CAA/MA etc.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of the legal practitioner/Counselor	Remarks
1.	IA/16/2021 In IA/18/2019 In CP(IB)/03/GB/2017	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 54 of IBC, 2016. Read with Regulation 45 (3) of IBBI, 2016.	CA Purshotam Gaggar Liquidator	For the petitioner: Mr. Purshotam Gaggar. CA. For the respondent:	
2.	IA/19/2021 In CP(IB)No.06/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 54 of IBC of 2016. read with regulation 45 of IBBI, 2016.	Chhedi Rajbar, Liquidator	For the petitioner: Mr. Arun Gupta, Adv On behalf of Liquidator Mr. Chhedi Rajbar. For the respondent:	
3.	IA/20/2021 In CP(IB)No.06/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 60(5)(c) of IBC, 2016 read with regulation 47A of IBBI, 2016.	Chhedi Rajbar, Liquidator	For the petitioner: Mr. Arun Gupta, Adv On behalf of Liquidator Mr. Chhedi Rajbar. For the respondent:	
4.	IA/08/2021 In CP(IB)No.22/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 60(5) of IBC, 2016.	Anil Agarwal Vs Punjab National Bank and Other.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Makkar, Adv. Mr. P. Khuswala, Adv. Mr. K. Khetan, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
5.	IA No.39 of 2020 In CP(IB)No.22/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	u/r 11 of NCLT rules, 2016.	M/s Shree Sai Rolling Mills India Pvt. Ltd. Vs Punjab National Bank.	For the petitioner: Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv. Ms. J. Chaudhury, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
6.	IA/09/2021 In CP(IB)No.23/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 60(5) of IBC, 2016.	Anil Agarwal Vs Punjab National Bank and Other.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Makkar, Adv. Mr. P. Khuswala, Adv. Mr. K. Khetan, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
7.	IA No.40 of 2020 In CP(IB)No.23/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	u/r 11 of NCLT rules, 2016.	M/s Shree Sai Smelters India Ltd. Vs	For the petitioner: Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv. Ms. J. Chaudhury, Adv.	

				Punjab National Bank.	For the respondent : Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.
8.	IA/07/2021 In CP(IB)No.24/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 60(5) of IBC, 2016.	Anil Agarwal Vs Punjab National Bank and Other.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Makkar, Adv. Mr. P. Khuswala, Adv. Mr. K. Khetan, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.
9.	IA No.41 of 2020 In CP(IB)No.24/GB/2019	For Pronounc ement of Order.	u/r 11 of NCLT rules, 2016.	M/s Shree Sai Prakash Alloys Pvt. Ltd. Vs Punjab National Bank.	For the petitioner: Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv. Ms. J. Chaudhury, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.
10.	IA/11/2021 In CP(IB)/03/GB/2020	For Pronounc ement of Order.	U/s 60(5) of IBC & Rule 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Mr. Amit Pareek Vs Volkswagen Finance Pvt. Ltd. And Mr. Kamal Agrwal, RP.	For the petitioner: Mr. Amit Parik, PCS. Mr. G.P. Madaan, Adv. For the respondent:
11.	CP(IB)No.08/GB/2020	For Pronounc ement of Order.	u/s 7 of IBC, 2016 read with rule 4 of IBC, 2016.	Punjab National Bank (United Bank of India) Vs M/s Megha Granules Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Dutta, Adv Mr. K. Kashyab, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Shwet Agarwal, Adv. Mr. Bikash Sarma, Adv.
12.	CP(IB)/12/2021	New Applicatio n	U/s 8 & 9 of IBC, 2016.	Shri Infrastructure Finance Limited Vs Assam Electronics Development Corporation Limited (AMTRON).	For the petitioner: Mr. Avishek Guha, Adv. For the respondent:
13.	CP(IB)/13/2021	New Applicatio n	U/s 9 of IBC, 2016.	Kishan Kumar Daga Vs Siang Tea and Industries Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. Arun Kumar, CMA. For the respondent:
14.	IA/28/2021 In CP(IB)/40/GB/2019	New IA	U/s 12A of IBC, 2016 & read with regulation 30A (3) of IBBI, 2016.	Vs M/S Jericho Chemicals LLP	For the petitioner: Mr. Vishal Ganda, Adv. On behalf of IRP. For the respondent:
15.	Review Petition No.01/GB/2018 In CP No.14/GB/2017	For hearing	U/s 420(2) of Companies Act 2013.	Goel Marketing and Distribution Co. Ltd. & Ors. Vs Mukesh Goel and others	For the petitioner: Mr. Rakesh Dubey, Adv For the respondent: Mr. J. K Choudhury, Adv. Ms. Sweta Bharti, Adv. Mr. Ankit Jain, Adv.

					Mr. Avinash Singh, Adv.
16.	Cont. Petn. No.04 of 2018 In CP No.14/GB/2017	For hearing	u/s 425 of Companies Act 2013.	Mukesh Goel and others Vs Goel Marketing and Distribution Co. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. J. K Choudhury, Adv Ms. Sweta Bharti, Adv. Mr. Ankit Jain, Adv. Mr. Avinash Singh, Adv.
				& Ors.	For the respondent : Mr. Rakesh Dubey, Adv
17.	IA No.94 of 2018 In Cont. Petn. No.04 of 2018 In	For hearing	U/r 43 read with rule 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016	Mukesh Goel and others Vs Goel Marketing and Distribution Co. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. J. K Choudhury, Adv Mr. Avinash Singh, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. Rakesh Dubey, Adv.
18.	CP No.14/GB/2017 CA(CAA)/7/2021	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	U/s 230 & 232 of Companies Act.	& Ors. Shivdhan Agency Pvt. Ltd. With Gaurav Commodeal Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. Arani Guha, Adv. Ms. Madhury Pandey, Adv For the respondent:
19.	CP/4/2021	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	U/s 59, 117, 213, 241, 243, 244, & 447 of Companies Act, 2013.	Mr. Amiyadhar Baruah & Others. Vs Rowriah Estates Private Limited & Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. B. Debnath, CS. Mr. D. Chinnappa, Sr. Adv. For the respondent: Mr. K. Goswami, Adv. Mr. A. Sandilya, Adv.
20.	CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For Hearing / Special officer to submit report.	u/s 241 & 242 read with section 58 and 59 of Companies	Ikramul Majid and another Vs Cleanopolis Energy System India Co. P. Ltd. & Ors	Ms. M. Kakati, Adv. For the petitioner: Mr. Ankur Jain, Adv Mr. Somnath Das, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv Mr. A. Lahkar, Adv
21.	IA No.62 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	Act, 2013. u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Prokash Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. Ankur Jain, Adv Mr. Somnath Das, Adv
22.	IA No.63 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For clarificati ons. / Hearing.	u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Rishikesh Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. Ankur Jain, Adv Mr. Somnath Das, Adv
23.	CP/5/2021	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	U/s 59 of Company Act. 2013.	Mrs. (Dr.) Nilufar Rahman Vs Rahman Properties Limited & Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Chamaria, Adv. Mr. S. Chanda, Adv. Mr. Mizor Ali, Adv. Mr. Deba Sarmah, Adv. Mr. M. Phukon, Adv. For the respondent:
24.	Comp. Appl./3/2021 In CP/5/2021	For clarificati	U/s 5 of Limitation Act, 1963 &	Mrs. (Dr.) Nilufar Rahman Vs	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Chamaria, Adv. Mr. S. Chanda, Adv.

	1	ons / Hearing.	U/s 241 of Companies Act, 2013.	Rahman Properties Limited & Others.	Mr. Mizor Ali, Adv. Mr. A. Sarmah, Adv. Mr. Raj Kalita, Adv.
				1 300 T	For the respondent :
25.	CA(CAA)/6/2021	For	U/s 230 &	Regional Director,	For the petitioner:
		clarificati	232(5) of	NER	Standing Counsel
		ons /	Companies	Vs	For the respondent:
	30	Hearing.	Act.	Moondhara	Mr. Bikash Sharma, Adv.
				Traders Pvt. Ltd. &	Chrough Sillion Californiane
				Others	
26.	TP No.16/GB/2016	For	u/s 235,	Shital Jain & Others	For the petitioner:
100	In	clarificati	210, 397,	Vs	Mr. K. Goswami, Adv.
	CP No.106 of 2014	ons /	398, 399,	Saroj Realtors &	Mr. Arinjoy Sandilya, Adv
		Hearing.	402, 403,	Developers Pvt.	Ms. Mayuri Kakoty, Adv.
			406, & 407	Ltd. & Others	
		Desir and	of		For the respondent:
			Companies		Ms. Jolly Rajkumari, Adv.
			Act 2013.		Mr. Santanu Bora, Adv
27.	IA No.15 of 2019	For	U/r 11 of	Saroj Realtors &	For the petitioner:
	In	clarificati	NCLT Rules,	devlopers Pvt. Ltd.	Ms. Jolly Rajkumari, Adv.
	TP No.16/GB/2016	ons /	2016.	Vs	Mr. Santanu Bora, Adv.
	In	Hearing.	trontation.	Shital Jain &	For the respondent :
	CP No.106 of 2014		85 et 1967	Others.	Mr. K. Goswami, Adv.
			21-6		Mr. Arinjoy Sandilya, Adv.
			5.41.59(54)	Chines Falset	Ms. Mayuri Kakoty, Adv.

(S.K. Das)
Deputy Registrar
NCLT, GB

0&E

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted if any.