NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL DAILY CAUSE LIST **BENCH - GUWAHATI** COURT NO. I

DATE: 09.02.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MEMBER, (J) SHRI H. V. SUBBA RAO TIME: 10.30 A.M.

HON'BLE MEMBER, (T) SHRI PRASANTA KUMAR MOHANTY

Through Video Conference

				6.1 5	Through video Conference	
SI. No	CP/CAA/MA etc.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of the legal Remar practitioner/Counselor	KS
1.	IA/06/2021 In IA No.18/2019 In CP(IB)No.03/GB/2018	New IA	U/s 54 of IBC, 2016 read with regulation 45(3) of IBBI, 2016.	P.C. Gaggar, CA, Liquidator Vs M/s Multichemical Industries Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: P.C. Gaggar, CA, Liquidator For the respondent:	
2.	CP(IB)/5/2021	New Applicatio n	U/s 7 of IBC, 2016.	Damayanti Tea Industries. Vs M/s Bochapathar Tea Estate Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. Anand Varma, Adv. For the respondent:	
3.	CP(IB)/2/2021	New Applicatio n	U/s 9 of IBC, 2016.	Mr. S.Y.N. Veeraiah Vs JUD Cement Private Limited.	For the petitioner: Ms. Anannya Gogoi, Adv. Ms. Rubi Nath, Adv. For the respondent:	
4.	CP(IB)No.08/GB/2020	For further proceedin g	u/s 7 of IBC, 2016 read with rule 4 of IBC, 2016.	United Bank of India Vs M/s Megha Granules Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. S. Dutta, Adv Mr. K. Kashyab, Adv For the respondent:	
5.	CP No.01/GB/2020	For Hearing.	u/s 252 of Companies Act. 2013.	Shri Binod Kumar Murarka and another Vs ROC and others	For the petitioner: Mr. J.C Gaur, Adv. Ms. Monika Gaur, Adv. Ms. Anjali Jain, Adv. For the respondent: Standing Counsel of ROC	
6.	CP No.09/GB/2020	For clarificati on from the petitioner /ROC Report.	u/s 66 of Companies Act 2013.	Colortek (Meghalaya) Pvt. Ltd. / ROC Guwahati	For the petitioner: Ms. Anannya Gogoi, Adv Mr. S. Das, Adv. For the respondent:	
7.	CP No. 14/66/GB/2020	For clarificati on	u/s 66 of Companies Act. 2013.	Assam Brook Limited.	For the petitioner: Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv. Ms. Maitreyee Das, Adv. For the respondent:	

8.	CA(CAA)/2/2021	For	U/s 230-	Purvottar Financial	For the petitioner:	
		further	232 of	Services Private	Mr. Bikash Sharma, Adv.	
		proceedin	Companies	Limited	Mr. Hemen Das, Adv.	
		g	Act, 2013.	And	Ms. Soma Deb, Adv.	
				3 Others.	Mr. Dhanjit Nath, Adv.	
					Ms. Nabanita Kalita, Adv.	
					Ms. Neelu Joshi, Adv.	
				Maria de la compansión de	Ms. Sangita Bezbaruah,	
	EP for the ST TO ST				Adv.	
				The second secon	Mr. Gaurav J. Talukdar,	
					Adv.	
	60 A 78 A 76 C				Mr. S. Das, Adv.	
					For the respondent:	
9.	CA(CAA)/5/2021	For	U/s 230-	Zonet Cable TV	For the petitioner:	
		further	232 of	Private Limited	Mr. Biman Debnath, FCS.	
		proceedin	Companies	And		
		g	Act, 2013.	Zonet TV Private	For the respondent:	
		0		Limited.		
10.	Cont. Appl. No.01 of	For	u/s 425 of	Smti. Hemaprava	For the petitioner:	
10.	2019	Hearing.	Companies	Devi	Mr. P. Gaggar, CA	
	2019 In	ricaring.	Act, 2013.	Vs	Mr. Ujjal Kalita, CS	
		SECTION.	ACI, 2015.			
	TP No.21/GB/2016		With the Print	Rupak Mahanta	For the respondent :	
	(CP No.188 of 2015)		N. 100		Mr. P.K. Roy Choudhuri,	
					Adv	
11.	Cont. Appln. No.03 of	For	u/s 425 of	3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner:	
	2018	Hearing.	Companies	Vs	Mr. R. Panday, adv	
	In		Act, 2013.	Prag Boshimi	Mr. K.M. Mahanta, Adv	
	CP No.89 of 2011		CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	Synthetics Ltd. &	23 3 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
	(CP Disposed off on 27-			Ors.	For the respondent:	,
	05-2011)		section to		Mr. R.J. Das, Adv.	
	00 1011/		92 BC 55.51	TO SECTION IN	Mrs. S. Saikia, Adv.	
12.	IA No.51 of 2019	For	u/r 7 of	Mrinal Kanti Das	For the petitioner :	
12.	In	Hearing.	Contempt	(Respondent No.5	Mr. M. Roy, Adv.	
		nearing.	of Courts		IVII. IVI. Noy, Adv.	
	Cont. Appln. No.03 of	Le Full		in Contempt	Fautha was and ant . *	
	2018	Fast/	Rule, 1977.	Application No.03	For the respondent:	
	In	en entraph	The road	of 2018)	Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
	CP No.89 of 2011	and the	methodals 13	Vs		
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.		
13.	IA No.52 of 2019	For	u/s 425 of	Hemanga Kishore	For the petitioner:	
	ln	Hearing.	Companies	Sharma	Mr. M. Roy, Adv.	
	Cont. Appln. No.03 of	311	Act, 2013.	(Respondent No.7		
	2018	1		in Contempt	For the respondent:	
	In			Application No.03	Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
	CP No.89 of 2011			of 2018)		
	C1 140.03 01 2011			Vs	DALLES OF	
				3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	Deputy logistism	
				JA Capital FVI. LIU.	7000, 60, 61	
4.	LAN EQ COOLS	-	1	11	Fanala agriciana	
14.	IA No.53 of 2019	For	u/r 7 of	Hemanga Kishore	For the petitioner:	
	In	Hearing.	Contempt	Sharma	Mr. M. Roy, Adv.	
	Cont. Appln. No.03 of		of Courts	(Respondent No.7		
	2018		Rule, 1977.	in Contempt	For the respondent:	
	In			Application No.03	Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.	
	CP No.89 of 2011			of 2018)		
				/		

V

				3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	
15.	IA No.54 of 2019 In Cont. Appln. No.03 of 2018 In CP No.89 of 2011	For Hearing.	u/r 7 of Contempt of Courts Rule, 1977.	Deepali Rajneesh Pathak (Respondent No.8 in Contempt Application No.03 of 2018) Vs 3A Capital Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Mr. M. Roy, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. R. J. Das, Adv.
16.	IA no.75 of 2019 In CP(IB)/04/GB/2018 (Bank of India Vs M/S M/S Maxim Infrastructure & Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.) (Under Section 7 of IBC, 2016)	For clarificati ons from RA/CD/ex RP/IA on the complian ce / Full Plan/ developm ent of agreemen t/ NCLAT order, if any.	Under subsection 5 of section 60 of IBC, read with section 14 & 18 of IBC, 2016 and u/r 7 & 14 IBBI, read with rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016.	V/S	For the Petitioner Mr. Chinmoy Chakravarty, Adv. Mr. S. Sarma, Adv. For the respondent: Mr.K.K Singhania, RP Mr. R.Dubey, Adv. Mr. Pranay Agarwal, Adv. Ms. Ankita Baid, Adv
17.	IA no.76 of 2019 In CP(IB)/04/GB/2018 (Bank of India Vs M/S M/S Maxim Infrastructure & Real Estate Pvt. Ltd.) (Under Section 7 of IBC, 2016)	For clarificati ons from RA/CD/ex RP/IA on the complian ce / Full	Under subsection 5 of section 60 of IBC, read with section 14 & 18 of IBC, 2016 and u/r 7 & 14 IBBI, read with rule 11 of NCLT Rules 2016.	Smt. Aditi Bezbaruah V/S K.K. Singhania, RP and COC.	For the Petitioner Mr. Chinmoy Chakravarty, Adv. Mr. S. Sarma, Adv. For the respondent: Mr.K.K Singhania, RP. Mr. R.Dubey, ADV. Mr. Pranay Agarwal, Adv. Ms. Ankita Baid, Adv

SR 03/02/2021

(S.K. Das) Deputy Registrar NCLT, GB

0&E

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted if any.