

**NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
DAILY CAUSE LIST
BENCH – GUWAHATI
COURT NO. I**

DATE : 20.01.2021

CORAM : HON'BLE MEMBER, (J) SHRI H. V. SUBBA RAO


TIME : 11.00 A.M.

HON'BLE MEMBER, (T) SHRI PRASANTA KUMAR MOHANTY

Through Video Conference

| Sl. No | CP/CAA/MA etc. | Purpose | Section | Name of the Parties | Name of the legal practitioner/Counselor | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|--|---|---------|
| 1. | IA No. 10 of 2020 In IA no. 67 of 2019 In IA no. 58 of 2019 In CP(IB)/20/GB/2017 | For Pronouncement of order | u/s 60(5) of IBC, 2016 read with rule 11 of NCLT rules, 2016. | Assam Company India Ltd. Vs Pr. commissioner of Income Tax, Dibrugarh & anr | For the petitioner : Mr. Ajay Gaggar Mr. Jagadish Das For the respondent : Ms. Banashree Bardaloi | |
| 2. | IA No. 19 of 2020 In CP(IB)/20/GB/2017 | For Pronouncement of order | u/s 60(5) of IBC, 2016. | Assam Company India Ltd. Vs Commissioner of CGST & CE | For the petitioner : Mr. Ajay Gaggar Mr. Jagadish Das For the respondent : | |
| 3. | CA(CAA)/3/2021 | For Pronouncement of order | U/s 230-232 of Companies Act, 2013. | B.H. Enterprises Private Limited And 3 Others. | For the petitioner : Mr. Madan Kumar Maroti, CA. Mr. N. Gurumurthy, CA. For the respondent : | |
| 4. | CA(CAA)/1/2021 | For Pronouncement of order | U/s 230-232 of Companies Act, 2013. | Vanaraj Suppliers Private Limited And Shree Shyam Tea Private Limited. | For the petitioner : Ms. Neha Somani, PCS. For the respondent : | |
| 5. | CA(CAA)/4/2021 | For Pronouncement of order | U/s 230-232 of Companies Act, 2013. | Assam Timber Products Private Limited And Ravi Marketing & services Private Limited. | For the petitioner : Ms. Neha Somani, PCS. For the respondent : | |
| 6. | IA/1/2021 In CP(IB) No.3/GB/2020 | New IA | U/s 12(2) of IBC, 2016 read with 40 of CIRP regulation, 2016. | Kamal Agarwal, RP & Others Vs Manish Soni | For the petitioner : Mr. Kamal Agarwal, RP For the respondent : | |
| 7. | IA No.47 of 2020 In CP(IB)No.02/GB/2018 | Clarification Whether the unit was part | u/s 60(5) read with section 74(3) of IBC, 2016 | Kitply Industries Ltd. Vs Sicom Ltd & Ors. | For the petitioner : Ms. S. Khaund, Adv. Ms. Millie Hazarika, Adv. | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | of the CD/ resolution plan specific policy/ ICD/OTS/ BIRF/PK commercial/ responde nt reply | and read with rule 11 of NCLT rules, 2016. | | <u>For the respondent :</u> | |
| 8. | CP(IB)/40/GB/2019 | For clarificati on / Hearing. | u/s 9 of IBC, 2016 read with rule 6 of IBC rule, 2016. | M/S Dynamic Engineering LTD. Vs M/S Jericho Chemicals LLP | <u>For the petitioner :</u> Mr. S. Sarma, Adv. <u>For the respondent :</u> Mr. S. Bharali, Adv. | |
| 9. | CP(IB)/1/2021 | For further proceedin g | U/s 9 of IBC, 2016. | Surat Goods Transport Private Limited Vs Pioneer Carbide Private Limited | <u>For the petitioner :</u> Mr. P.K. Sarma, Adv. Ms. B. Bhuyan, Adv. Ms. R. Sahin, Adv. Ms. B. Mahanta, Adv. Mr. P. Chakraborty, Adv. <u>For the respondent :</u> | |
| 10. | CP No.09/GB/2020 | For clarificati on from the petitioner /ROC Report. | u/s 66 of Companies Act 2013. | Colortek (Meghalaya) Pvt. Ltd. / ROC Guwahati | <u>For the petitioner :</u> Ms. Anannya Gogoi, Adv <u>For the respondent :</u> | |
| 11. | CA(CAA)/2/2021 | For further proceedin g | U/s 230- 232 of Companies Act, 2013. | Purvottar Financial Services Private Limited And 3 Others. | <u>For the petitioner :</u> Mr. Bikash Sharma, Adv. Mr. Hemen Das, Adv. Ms. Soma Deb, Adv. Mr. Dhanjit Nath, Adv. Ms. Nabanita Kalita, Adv. Ms. Neelu Joshi, Adv. Ms. Sangita Bezbaruah, Adv. Mr. Gaurav J. Talukdar, Adv. <u>For the respondent :</u> | |
| 12. | CA(CAA)/5/2021 | New Applicatio n | U/s 230- 232 of Companies Act, 2013. | Zonet Cable TV Private Limited And Zonet TV Private Limited. | <u>For the petitioner :</u> Mr. Biman Debnath, FCS. <u>For the respondent :</u> | |


 19/01/2021
 (S.K. Das)
 Deputy Registrar
 NCLT, GB

O&E

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted if any.