NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL DAILY CAUSE LIST BENCH - GUWAHATI COURT NO. I

DATE: 18.01.2021

CORAM: HON'BLE MEMBER, (J) SHRI H. V. SUBBA RAO

TIME: 10:30 A.M.

HON'BLE MEMBER, (T) SHRI PRASANTA KUMAR MOHANTY

Through Video Conference

SI.	CP/CAA/MA etc.	Purpose	Section	Name of the Parties	Name of the legal	Remarks
No					practitioner/Counselor	
1.	IA No.39 of 2020	For	u/r 11 of	Punjab National	For the petitioner:	
	In	Furnishin	NCLT rules,	Bank	Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
	CP(IB)No.22/GB/2019	g of	2016.	Vs		
		Hon'ble		M/s Shree Sai	For the respondent:	
		Supreme	1	Rolling Mills India	Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv.	
		Court		Pvt. Ltd.		
		order.				
2.	IA No.40 of 2020	For	u/r 11 of	Punjab National	For the petitioner:	
	In	Furnishin	NCLT rules,	Bank	Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
	CP(IB)No.23/GB/2019	g of	2016.	Vs		
		Hon'ble		M/s Shree Sai	For the respondent:	
		Supreme		Smelters India Ltd.	Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv.	
		Court		and the second s		
		order.			- 10	
3.	IA No.41 of 2020	For	u/r 11 of	Punjab National	For the petitioner:	
	In	Furnishin	NCLT rules,	Bank	Mr. K.K. Nandi, Adv.	
	CP(IB)No.24/GB/2019	g of	2016.	Vs		
		Hon'ble		M/s Shree Sai	For the respondent:	
		Supreme		Prakash Alloys Pvt.	Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv.	
		Court	•	Ltd.		
		order.				
4.	IA No. 48 of 2020	Awaiting	u/s 60(5) of	Shri Ngaitlang Dhar	For the petitioner:	
	In	for	IBC, 2016	Vs	Mr. Yash Anand, Adv.	
	CP(IB) No.13/GB/2019	Hon'ble	read with	Mr. Phon Syth &	Mr. A. Pareek, RP	
		Supreme	rule 11 of	Ors.		
		Court	IBC, 2016.		For the respondent:	
		Order.				
5.	IA No. 54 of 2020	For	u/s 60(5)(c)	Hrisikesh Dasgupta,	For the petitioner:	
	ln .	clarificati	of IBC, 2016	liquidator,	Mr. Pranay Agarwal, Adv	
	CP(IB)No.05/GB/2018	ons /	with	(Applicant)	On behalf of Liquidator	
		Hearing.	Regulation	In	Mr. Hrisikesh Dasgupta	
	. 1, -		47A of IBBI.	Dena Bank (Now		
				Bank of Baroda)	For the respondent:	
				Vs		
				Bluefern Ventures		
				Pvt. Ltd.		
6.	IA No. 56 of 2020	For	Regulation	Hrisikesh Dasgupta,	For the petitioner:	
	In	clarificati	44 of IBBI,	liquidator,	Mr. Pranay Agarwal, Adv	
	CP(IB)No.05/GB/2018	ons /	2016, with	(Applicant)	On behalf of Liquidator	
		Hearing.	rule 11 of	In	Mr. Hrisikesh Dasgupta	
			NCLT, 2016.			

7.	CP No.15/241/242/GB/	For Hearin /	u/s 241 & 242 read	Dena Bank (Now Bank of Baroda) Vs Bluefern Ventures Pvt. Ltd. Ikramul Majid and another	For the respondent : For the petitioner : Mr. Ankur Jain, Adv
	2019		with section 58 and 59 of Companies Act, 2013.	Vs Cleanopolis Energy System India Co. P. Ltd. & Ors	Mr. somnath Das, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv Mr. A. Lahkar, Adv
8.	IA No.62 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For Hearing / do.	u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Prokash Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv. For the respondent:
9.	IA No.63 of 2020 In CP No.15/241/242/GB/ 2019	For Hearing / do.	u/r 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016.	Sri Rishikesh Datta Vs Ikramul Majid & 3 Others.	For the petitioner: Mr. Sibanu Sharma, Adv. For the respondent:
10.	CP No.30/130/213/241/2 42/244/GB/2018	For clarificati ons from the responde nt / Hearing.	u/s 130, 213, 241, 242, 244(1) & 59 of Companies Act, 2013.	Ms. Shehla Rahman Vs M/S Shamshun Tea & Industries (P) Ltd & others	For the petitioner: Mr. R.K. Agarwal, Adv Mr. Amitabh Choudhury, Adv Mr. Harish Betala, Adv Mr. Debashis Kr. Das, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Kaushik Goswami, Adv Mr. Arinjay Sandilya, Adv Mr. Amitjit Lahkar, Adv
11.	IA No.61 of 2018 In CP No.30/130/213/241/2 42/244/GB/2018	For clarificati ons from the petitioner & responde nt / Hearing.	u/s 130, 213, 241, 242, 244(1) & 59 of Companies Act, 2013.	Ms. Shehla Rahman Vs M/S Shamshun Tea & Industries (P) Ltd & others.	For the petitioner: Mr. R.K. Agarwal, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Kaushik Goswami, Adv
12.	IA No.62 of 2018 In CP No.30/130/213/241/2 42/244/GB/2018	For clarificati ons from the petitioner & responde nt / Hearing.	u/s 130, 213, 241, 242, 244 of Companies Act, 2013.	& Industries (P) Ltd & others.	For the petitioner: Mr. R.K. Agarwal, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Kaushik Goswami, Adv
13.	IA No.50 of 2019 In	For clarificati ons from	u/s 130, 213, 241, 242 & 244	& Industries (P) Ltd	For the petitioner: Mr. Kaushik Goswami, Adv

	CP No.30/130/213/241/2 42/244/GB/2018	the petitioner & responde nt / Hearing.	of Companies Act, 2013.	Vs Ms. Shehla Rahman	For the respondent: Mr. R.K. Agarwal, Adv
14.	IA No. 87 of 2019 In CP No.30/130/213/241/2 42/244/GB/2018	For clarificati ons from the liquidator & FC / Hearing.	U/r 49 (2) read with 11 of NCLT, Rules, 2016.	Ms. Rukshana Rahman Khan & Others. Vs Ms. Shehla Rahman	For the petitioner: Ms. Rukshana Rahman Khan, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. R.K. Agarwal, Adv.
15.	CP No. 10/GB/2020 With Caviat No.01 of 2020	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	u/s 245 of Companies Act, 2013 read with rule 11 of NCLT rule, 2016.	Sharmila Shetty Vs B & A Ltd and Another.	For the petitioner: Mr. M.K. Chaudhury, Sr. Adv Mr. Dhrupad Das, Adv Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv Mr. Arindam Barua, Adv For the respondent: Mr. R. Benarji, Adv Mr. P.K. Agarwal, Adv.
16.	Ivn. P/1/2021 In CP No.10/GB/2020 With Caviat No.01 of 2020	New IA	U/r 11 & 34 of the NCLT Rules, 2016.	B & A Ltd and Another. Vs Sharmila Shetty	For the petitioner: Mr. R. Benarji, Adv Mr. P.K. Agarwal, Adv. For the respondent: Mr. M.K. Chaudhury, Sr. Adv Mr. Dhrupad Das, Adv Mr. Karan Khanna, Adv Mr. Arindam Barua, Adv
17.	CP No.02/GB/2019	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	u/s 213 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with rule 11 & 14 of NCLT rules, 2016.	Dr. (Mrs.) Natasha Gohain Vs M/S G.M. Hospital Pvt. Ltd. & Others	For the petitioner: Mr. Biman Debnath, PCS Mr. Jitul Roy, Adv For the respondent: Mr. P.P. Dutta, Adv Mr. d. thousand, Adv
18.	TP No.16/GB/2016 In CP No.106 of 2014	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	u/s 235, 210, 397, 398, 399, 402, 403, 406, & 407 of Companies Act 2013.	Shital Jain & Others Vs Saroj Realtors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. & Others	For the petitioner: Mr. K. Goswami, Adv. Mr. Arinjoy Sandilya, Adv Ms. Mayuri Kakoty, Adv. For the respondent: Ms. Jolly Rajkumari, Adv. Mr. Santanu Bora, Adv
19.	Cont. Appln. No.01 of 2020 In TP No.26/GB/2016 (CP No.80 of 2010)	For clarificati ons / Hearing.	u/s 12 of Contempt of Courts Act. 1971 read with Article 215	Sajay Kumar Singh Vs Prabir Kumar Roy And Smt. Prem Kumari Roy	For the petitioner: Mr. D. Bagchi, Adv For the respondent: Mr. Sanjib Hazarika, Adv Ms. Pampy Tamuli, Adv

			of the		Mr. H.J Gogoi, Adv
			Constitutio		Mr. Sumitra Sengupta,
-			n of India.		Adv
20.	IA No.73 of 2019	For	u/r 11 of	Sajay Kumar Singh	For the petitioner:
	ln	clarificati	NCLT, Rules	Vs	Mr. Pabitra Saikia, adv.
	Cont. Appln. No.01 of	ons /	2016.	Bogidhola Tea &	Ms. Mamoni Das, Adv.
	2020	Hearing.		Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd.	
	ln			and others	For the respondent:
	TP No.26/GB/2016				Mr. Sanjib Hazarika, Adv.
	ln ln				Mr. Nayan Jyoti Gogoi,
	CP No.80 of 2010				Adv.
21.	IA No.04 of 2020	For	u/r 11 of	Kamakhya Kumar	For the petitioner:
	ln In	clarificati	NCLT, Rules	Roy	Mr. Bedanta Kaushik,
	Cont. Appln. No.01 of	ons /	2016.	Vs	Adv.
	2020	Hearing.		Bogidhola Tea &	
	ln			Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd.	For the respondent:
	TP No.26/GB/2016			and others	Mr. S.K. Singh, Adv.
	In				
	CP No.80 of 2010				
22.	IA No.28 of 2020	For	u/r 11 of	Sri Pravir Kumar	For the petitioner:
	In	clarificati	NCLT, Rules	Roy	Mr. D. Bagchi, Adv.
	Cont. Appln. No.01 of	ons /	2016.	Vs	
	2020	Hearing.		Bogidhola Tea &	For the respondent:
	In			Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd.	Mr. N.J. Gogoi, Adv.
	TP No.26/GB/2016			and others	
	In				
	CP No.80 of 2010				
23.	Cont. Appln. No.02 of	For	u/s	Gomukhi	For the petitioner:
	2018	clarificati	397/398 of	Construction(P)	Mr. S.K. Gupta, PCS
	In	ons /	Companies	Ltd. & Others	Mr. N. Sharma, PCS
	TA No.34 of 2016 (CA	Hearing.	Act, 1956.	Vs	
	No.461 of 2015)	Special		North East Shuttles	For the respondent :
	In	officer		(P) Ltd.	Mr. H. Das, Adv
	TP No.07/GB/2016	report.			Mr. N. Khatoniar, Adv
	(CP No.969 of 2012)				
24.	IA No.26 of 2020	For	u/r 32 of	The Airport	For the petitioner:
	In	clarificati	NCLT, Rules	Authority of India	Mr. B.D. Deka, Adv.
	Cont. Appln. No.02 of	ons /	2016.	Vs	Mr. N. Choudhury, Adv.
	2018	Hearing.		Gomukhi	
	In			Construction Pvt.	For the respondent:
	TA No.34 of 2016 (CA	L		Ltd. And 6 Others.	Mr. S.K. Gupta, PCS
	No.461 of 2015)				Mr. N. Sharma, PCS
	In				
	TP No.07/GB/2016				
	(CP No.969 of 2012)				
25.	IA No.25 of 2020	For	u/r 32 of	The Airport	For the petitioner:
	In	clarificati	NCLT, Rules	Authority of India	Mr. B.D. Deka, Adv.
	Cont. Appln. No.02 of	ons /	2016, read	Vs	Mr. N. Choudhury, Adv.
	2018	Hearing.	with	Gomukhi	
	In		section 405	Construction Pvt.	For the respondent:
=	TA No.34 of 2016 (CA		of	Ltd. And 6 Others.	Mr. S.K. Gupta, PCS
	No.461 of 2015)		companies		Mr. N. Sharma, PCS
	In		act. 1956.		
	TP No.07/GB/2016				
	(CP No.969 of 2012)				
	(0. 110.000 1				

26.	CP No.17/GB/2019 CP No.18/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC For	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.		For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent: For the petitioner:
27.	Cr No.15/G5/2013	Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	Vs	Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
28.	CP No.19/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.		For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
29.	CP No.20/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.		For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
30.	CP No.21/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.		For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
31.	CP No.22/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S New Gen Media Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
32.	CP No.23/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Mega Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
33.	CP No.24/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Structures & Properties Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:

101		Registry / ROC			
34.	CP No.25/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Urban Land Development Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
35.	CP No.26/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Infra Shelters Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
36.	CP No.27/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Real Accommodation Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
37.	CP No.28/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Home Developers Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
38.	CP No.29/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Home Project Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
39.	CP No.30/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Build Creation Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:
40.	CP No.31/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Shopping Arcade Pvt. Ltd.	1
41.	CP No.32/GB/2019	For Further Hearing /	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of		For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH					
		notice from Registry / ROC	Companies Act. 2013.	M/S Saradha City Space Pvt. Ltd.	
42.	CP No.33/GB/2019	For Further Hearing / notice from Registry / ROC	u/s 271(c)(d) & 272(3) of Companies Act. 2013.	ROC, Guwahati Vs M/S Saradha Bhawan Pvt. Ltd.	For the petitioner: Standing Counsel of ROC For the respondent:

Sanjol/2021

(S.K. Das) Deputy Registrar NCLT, GB

O&E

Although all efforts have been made to give accurate information in the Cause List, the possibility of an inadvertent error cannot be ruled out and is regretted if any.