

**In the National Company Law Tribunal**  
**New Delhi Bench**

**C.P No- 16/82/2017**

**In the Matter of**  
**Mr. Harmandeep Singh Kandhari**

**Order Delivered on - 21.11.2017**

**CORAM:**  
**INA MALHOTRA, MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**

**PRESENT-** Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate  
Ms. Anisha, Advocate

**ORDER**

The petitioner Mr. Haramdeep Singh Kandhari, was a Director in more than 20 Companies. This is in gross violation of Section 165 of the Companies Act 2013 which limits any individual from being a Director in more than 20 companies. On realization, The applicant has taken suo moto steps to resign from the directorship of some companies so as to adhere to the provisions of Section 165. As per the said provision, every any person who was on the Board of directors of more than 20 Companies was required to resign from companies in excess of twenty by 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015. The period of default is therefore stated to be from 01.04.2015 to 01.10.2015 i.e for 183 days.

↓

2. With a view not to face a protracted criminal prosecution and penal consequences, the applicant who admits this default on account of ignorance, has filed the present petition under section 441 of the Act.

3. The offence is punishable u/s 165(6) of the Companies Act, 2013 which provides for an imposition of a fine which shall not be less than Rs.5,000/- but which may extend to Rs.25,000/- for every day after the first during which the contravention continues. Accordingly the office of the RoC has recommended the imposition of a fine of **Rs. 45,75,000/-**(Max.) on the petitioner.

4. The petitioner submits that the said omission was inadvertent and not on account of any malafide intentions. Though ignorance of law is no excuse, one cannot shy away from the fact that the technicalities of law are complicated and adherence to several statutory provisions very complex. The said restriction of a limit to being a Director of Companies to twenty has been incorporated in the new Act. It would be prudent to accept that no one would deliberately violate this provision so as to attract such a draconian fine, which in the present circumstances may extend upto **Rs. Forty Five Lakhs Seventy Five Thousand.**

5. Since the applicant has suo moto taken remedial measures and has prayed for compounding, the fees imposed for the inadvertent default should be of a just and equitable parameter.

6. Under the circumstances, notwithstanding what would be the minimum quantum of fine imposed by the prosecuting court after a prolonged trial at the time of sentencing. This Bench deems it just, fit and proper to direct compounding of the default on payment of a fee of **Rs. 5 Lakhs** for perpetuation of the offence for about 183 days.

6. Fine imposed on the applicant shall be paid out of his personal account.
7. Subject to the remittance of the aforesaid fine within 30 days, the offence shall stand compounded. Copy of the order be sent to the office of the RoC. Compliance Report be placed on record.
8. Petition stands disposed off in terms of the above and consigned to Record Room.

  
**(Ina Malhotra)**

---

**Member Judicial**