

BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI BENCH
TRANSFER COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 208 OF 2017
CONNECTED WITH
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 708 OF 2016
NABARATNA VINIMAY PRIVATE LIMITED
....Petitioner/ the First Transferor Company

AND
BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI BENCH
TRANSFER COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 209 OF 2017
CONNECTED WITH
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 709 OF 2016
TRIVINI TRADERS PRIVATE LIMITED
....Petitioner/ the Second Transferor Company

AND
BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI BENCH
TRANSFER COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 210 OF 2017
CONNECTED WITH
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 710 OF 2016
NIGHTANGLE CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED
....Petitioner/ the Third Transferor Company

AND
BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI BENCH
TRANSFER COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 211 OF 2017
CONNECTED WITH
COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO. 711 OF 2016
VITAL LIFESCIENCES PRIVATE LIMITED

....Petitioner/ the Transferee Company
In the matter of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of
2013);

AND

In the matter of Sections 391 to 394 of the Companies Act, 1956 and other relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013;

AND

In the matter of Scheme of Amalgamation of NABARATNA VINIMAY PRIVATE LIMITED, the First Transferor Company and TRIVINI TRADERS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Second Transferor Company and NIGHTANGLE CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED, the Third Transferor Company with VITAL LIFESCIENCES PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company.

Called for hearing

Mr. Rajesh Shah with Mr. Ahmed M Chunawala i/b M/s. Rajesh Shah & Co.,
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Coram: Ms. Ina Malhotra, Hon'ble Member (J)

Date: 20th June, 2017

MINUTES OF THE ORDER

1. Heard learned counsel for parties. No objector has come before this Tribunal to oppose the Scheme and nor has any party controverted any averments made in the Petitions to the Scheme of Amalgamation of NABARATNA VINIMAY PRIVATE LIMITED, the First Transferor Company and TRIVINI TRADERS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Second Transferor Company and NIGHTANGLE CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED, the Third Transferor Company with VITAL LIFESCIENCES PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company.
2. The sanction of the Tribunal is sought under Sections 391 to 394 of the Companies Act, 1956 and Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 to a Scheme of Amalgamation of NABARATNA VINIMAY PRIVATE

LIMITED, the First Transferor Company and TRIVINI TRADERS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Second Transferor Company and NIGHTANGLE CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED, the Third Transferor Company with VITAL LIFESCIENCES PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company.

3. The Petitioner Companies have approved the said Scheme of Amalgamation by passing the Board Resolutions which are annexed to the respective Company Scheme Petitions.
4. The Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioners states that the Petitions have been filed in consonance with the order passed in their Company Summons for Direction Nos. 708 of 2016 to 711 of 2016 of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.
5. The Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioners further states that the Petitioner Companies have complied with all requirements as per directions of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench and they have filed necessary affidavits of compliance in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench. Moreover, Petitioner Companies undertake to comply with all the statutory requirements if any, as required under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and the Rules made there under whichever is applicable. The said undertaking is accepted.
6. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners states that all the Transferor Companies has been carrying on the business is investment holding company and that the Transferee Company has been investment holding company. As per the opinion of the management the amalgamation will enable the Transferee Company to consolidate the businesses and lead to synergies in operation and create a stronger financial base and that it would be advantageous to combine the activities

and operations of all the companies into a single Company for synergistic linkages and the benefit of combined financial resources and that this will be reflected in the profitability of the Transferee Company and that this Scheme of amalgamation would result in merger and thus consolidation of business of all the Transferor Companies and the Transferee Company in one entity, all the shareholders of the merged entity will be benefited by result of the amalgamation of Business and availability of a common operating platform and that the Amalgamation of all the Transferor Companies with the Transferee Company will also provide an opportunity to leverage combined assets and build a stronger sustainable business. Specifically, the merger will enable optimal utilization of existing resources and provide an opportunity to fully leverage strong assets, capabilities, experience, expertise and infrastructure of all the companies and that the merged entity will also have sufficient funds required for meeting its long term capital needs as provided for in the scheme and that the Scheme of amalgamation will result in cost saving for all the companies as they are capitalizing on each other's core competency and resources which are expected to result in stability of operations, cost savings and higher profitability levels for the Amalgamated Company and that all the Transferor Companies are substantially held by the Transferee Company and that the shareholders would consolidate their holdings and leverage the share value consequent to higher profitability.

7. The Regional Director has filed a Report on 3rd day of May, 2017 stating therein, save and except as stated in paragraph IV, it appears that the Scheme is not prejudicial to the interest of shareholders and public. In paragraph IV of the said Report, the Regional Director has stated that:-

“III. The observations of the Regional Director on the proposed Scheme to be considered by the Hon'ble NCLT are as under:

1. *In addition to compliance of AS-14 (Ind AS-103) the Transferee Company shall pass such accounting entries which are necessary in connection with the scheme to comply with other applicable Accounting Standards such as AS-5 (Ind As-8) etc*
2. *As per Part –A Defination & Share Capital 3. 6 of the scheme “The Appointed Date” means the 1 April 2015 or such other date as the High Court of Judicature at Mumbai or other competent authority may otherwise direct/ fix. In this regard, it is submitted in terms of provisions of section 232(6) of the Companies Act, 2013 it should be 1st April 2015.*
3. *As per the existing practice, the Petitioner Companies are required to serve Notice for Scheme of Arrangements to Income Tax Department for their comments. It appears that the company vide letter dated 8th November, 2016 has served a copy of company petition No. 719-722/2016 along with relevant orders etc., further this directorate has also issued a reminder 24.04.2017, to IT Department.*
4. *The tax implication if any arising out of the scheme is subject to final decision of Income Tax Authorities. The approval of the scheme by this Hon’ble Court may not deter the Income Tax Authority to scrutinize the tax return filed by the transferee company after giving effect to the scheme. The decision of the Income Tax Authority is binding on the petitioner Company.*
5. *As on date ROC Mumbai has not submitted his report. Hon’ble Tribunal may pass appropriate order(s) as deem fit.*
8. *So far as the observation in paragraph IV (a) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Transferee Company undertakes that in addition to compliance of AS-14 (Ind AS-103) accounting treatment, the Transferee Company shall pass such accounting entries as may be necessary in connection with the Scheme to comply with other applicable accounting standards such as AS-5/corresponding Ind AS-8 etc.*
9. *As far as the observation in paragraph IV (b) of the report of Regional Director is concerned, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies*

1. *In addition to compliance of AS-14 (Ind AS-103) the Transferee Company shall pass such accounting entries which are necessary in connection with the scheme to comply with other applicable Accounting Standards such as AS-5 (Ind As-8) etc*
2. *As per Part –A Defination & Share Capital 3. 6 of the scheme “The Appointed Date” means the 1 April 2015 or such other date as the High Court of Judicature at Mumbai or other competent authority may otherwise direct/ fix. In this regard, it is submitted in terms of provisions of section 232(6) of the Companies Act, 2013 it should be 1st April 2015.*
3. *As per the existing practice, the Petitioner Companies are required to serve Notice for Scheme of Arrangements to Income Tax Department for their comments. It appears that the company vide letter dated 8th November, 2016 has served a copy of company petition No. 719-722/2016 along with relevant orders etc., further this directorate has also issued a reminder 24.04.2017, to IT Department.*
4. *The tax implication if any arising out of the scheme is subject to final decision of Income Tax Authorities. The approval of the scheme by this Hon’ble Court may not deter the Income Tax Authority to scrutinize the tax return filed by the transferee company after giving effect to the scheme. The decision of the Income Tax Authority is binding on the petitioner Company.*
5. *As on date ROC Mumbai has not submitted his report. Hon’ble Tribunal may pass appropriate order(s) as deem fit.*
8. *So far as the observation in paragraph IV (a) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Transferee Company undertakes that in addition to compliance of AS-14 (Ind AS-103) accounting treatment, the Transferee Company shall pass such accounting entries as may be necessary in connection with the Scheme to comply with other applicable accounting standards such as AS-5/corresponding Ind AS-8 etc.*
9. *As far as the observation in paragraph IV (b) of the report of Regional Director is concerned, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies*

submits that the appointed date mentioned in the scheme is 1st April, 2015.

10. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (c) and (d) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petitioner Company undertakes to comply with all applicable provisions of the Income-tax Act and all tax issues arising out of the Scheme of Amalgamation will be met and answered in accordance with law.
11. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (e) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the ROC, Mumbai has filed their report with the NCLT, Mumbai Bench.
12. The observations made by the Regional Director have been explained by the Petitioner Companies in Para 8 to 11 above. The clarifications and undertakings given by the Petitioner Companies are accepted by this Hon'ble Tribunal.
13. The Official Liquidator has filed his report on 24th March, 2017 in the Company Scheme Petition Nos. 719 to 721 of 2016 inter alia, stating therein that the affairs of the Transferor Company have been conducted in a proper manner and that the Transferor Company may be ordered to be dissolved by this Tribunal.
14. From the material on record, the Scheme appears to be fair and reasonable and is not violative of any provisions of law and is not contrary to public policy.
15. Since all the requisite statutory compliances have been fulfilled, Company Petition Nos. 719 to 721 of 2016 is made absolute in terms of prayers

clause (a) to (d) and 722 of 2016 is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) to (c).

16. Petitioners are directed to lodge a copy of this order along with a copy of the Scheme of Amalgamation with the concerned Registrar of Companies, electronically along with E-Form INC-28, in addition to physical copy, as per the relevant provisions of the Companies Act 1956 / 2013.
17. The Petitioner Companies to lodge a copy of this order and the Scheme duly certified by the Deputy Registrar, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench, with the concerned Superintendent of Stamps, for the purpose of adjudication of stamp duty payable within 60 days from the date of receipt of the order, if any.
18. The Petitioner Companies to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- each to the Regional Director, Western Region, Mumbai and the Petitioner Companies in the Company Petition Nos. 719 to 721 of 2017 to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- to the Official Liquidator, High Court, Bombay. Cost to be paid within four weeks from the date of receipt of the Order.
19. All concerned regulatory authorities to act on a copy of this order along with Scheme duly authenticated by the Deputy Registrar, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai.

Sd/-

Ina Malhotra, Member (J)