

BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,  
BENCH, AT MUMBAI  
COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO. 364 OF 2017  
CONNECTED WITH  
COMPANY SCHEME APPLICATION NO. 359 OF 2017

In the matter of the Companies Act,  
2013;

AND

In the matter of Sections 230 to 232 and  
other applicable provisions of the  
Companies Act, 2013 and Rules framed  
thereunder as in force from time to time;

AND

In the matter of Composite Scheme of  
Arrangement between Parekh Marine  
Agencies Pvt. Ltd., Seabridge Maritime  
Agencies Pvt. Ltd., Logistic Services Pvt.  
Ltd., PSC Ventures Pvt. Ltd., Parnovo  
Ventures Pvt. Ltd. ("Transferor  
Companies") with Parcorp Pvt. Ltd.  
("Transferee Company") and Seabridge  
Projects Private Limited, Triton Marine  
Oil Field Services Private Limited,  
Seabridge Marine Agencies Private  
Limited, Parekh Marine Services Private  
Limited and PML Ventures Private  
Limited ("Resulting Companies") and  
their respective Shareholders

Parcorp Private Limited, a company )  
incorporated under the Companies Act, )  
2013 having its registered office at )  
Anchorage, CTS. No. 275/B, Village )  
Deonar, Off Govandi Station road, )  
Govandi East, Mumbai 400088. ) ...Petitioner Company.

*mes*

**Called for Hearing:**

Mr. Ashish Kamat, Mr. C. Rashmikant, Ms. Anuja Abhyankar, Ms. Nikita Mishra, Ms. Spenta Havewala, i/b M/s. Federal & Rashmikant, Advocates for the Petitioner Company.

Coram: SH. M. K. Shrawat, Hon'ble Member (J).

Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2017

**MINUTES OF ORDER**

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Petitioner Company. None appears before this Tribunal either to oppose the Scheme or to contravene averments made in the Company Scheme Petition.
2. The sanction of this Tribunal is sought under Sections 230 and 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 for the Composite Scheme of Arrangement between Parekh Marine Agencies Pvt. Ltd., Seabridge Maritime Agencies Pvt. Ltd., Logistic Services Pvt. Ltd., PSC Ventures Pvt. Ltd., Parnovo Ventures Pvt. Ltd. ("*Transferor Companies*") with Parcorp Pvt. Ltd. ("*Transferee Company*") and Seabridge Projects Private Limited, Triton Marine Oil Field Services Private Limited, Seabridge Marine Agencies Private Limited, Parekh Marine Services Private Limited and PML Ventures Private Limited ("*Resulting Companies*") and their respective shareholders ("*the Scheme*").
3. Transferor Companies are subsidiaries of the Petitioner/Transferee Company and Resulting Companies are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Petitioner/Transferee Company. Shareholders of the Petitioner Company, the Transferor Companies and Resulting Companies are all members/entities of the Parekh Family and these Companies form part of the Parekh Group of Companies.
4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Company submits that this Scheme shall result in following benefits:
  - a. The principal purpose of this composite Scheme of merger and demerger is to give effect to the Family Arrangement arrived at by the Parekh Family members concerning the organization/ reorganization/

structuring/ restructuring of the Parekh Family Businesses to avoid future disputes and crystallize the ownership and management of the business undertakings of the Transferor Companies amongst the Parekh Family members to ensure the continued growth of the Parekh family businesses including of the Parekh Family companies. Additionally, it is also a step towards ultimately arriving at focused business operations and streamlining each business to increase its flexibility in taking advantage of the huge growth opportunities in their respective business segments, with each family branch having control of its respective businesses and businesses remaining joint are held and managed in the agreed manner set out more particularly in the Memorandum of Understanding dated March 25, 2017 recording the Parekh Family Arrangement and understandings.

- b. The proposed restructuring will help to rationalize the holding structure of all these companies as per the Family Arrangement and streamline the operating structure.
- c. The proposed restructuring would help to identify distinct investors for investing in identified business verticals and also provide scope for independent collaboration and expansion.
- d. The proposed restructuring would help in focused growth with greater visibility on the performance of respective businesses.
- e. The proposed restructuring would help to achieve synergistic integration and/or consolidation and/or reorganization of businesses of the Transferor Companies.
- f. The proposed restructuring would result in enhancement of shareholder value, operational efficiencies and greater focus and would enable the management of the Resulting Companies to vigorously pursue revenue growth and expansion opportunities.
- g. The Scheme would be in the best interests of the shareholders, creditors, employees and other stakeholders of the Companies and the public at large.

*Mulu*

5. The Petitioner Company has approved the Scheme by passing Board Resolution, copy of which is annexed to the Company Scheme Petition as Exhibit 'I'.
6. By an Order dated 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017 passed by this Tribunal in the said Company Scheme Petition, the said Petition was admitted and the Tribunal directed the Petitioner Company to do the following:
  - (i) Advertise the notice of the hearing in the newspapers namely, Free Press Journal (English, Maharashtra edition) and Loksatta (Marathi, Maharashtra edition) not less than 10 days before the aforesaid date fixed for hearing.
  - (ii) Serve the notice of the Petition on the Authorities namely (i) Regional Director, Western Region, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Mumbai, Maharashtra, (ii) Registrar of Companies, Maharashtra, Mumbai and (iii) concerned Income Tax Authority, who have made their representation to this Tribunal within 30 days of the date of receipt of aforesaid notice dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2017 and who desire to be heard in their representation, at least 10 days before the date fixed for hearing of the above Petition.
  - (iii) Serve notice at least 10 days before the date fixed for hearing to creditor(s) having outstanding debt not less than 5% of the total outstanding debt of the Petitioner Company and/or to persons holding not less than 10% of the shareholding of the Petitioner Company, to whom aforesaid notices dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2017 have been issued and whose representation is received by this Tribunal and the Petitioner Company within 30 days of the date on which service has been effected of aforesaid notices dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2017 and who desire to be heard in their representation and
  - (iv) File an Affidavit of Service at least 7 days before the date of hearing of the Petition in relation to paper publication effected as well as service of notices on the Authorities specified above, if any, as well as to objector (s), if any.

7. In compliance with the directions issued by this Tribunal on 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017, the Petitioner Company published on 18<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 the notice of the hearing of the Company Scheme Petition dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 in the Free Press Journal (English, Maharashtra Edition) and also published on 18<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 and 25<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 the notice of the hearing of the Company Scheme Petition dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 and corrigendum to the said notice dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 in Loksatta (Marathi, Maharashtra Edition).
8. The Petitioner Company has not received any representations from the authorities mentioned hereinabove or the creditors and accordingly the Petitioner Company has not caused any service on them and in view of Rule 8 (3) of Rule 8 of the Companies (Compromises, Arrangements, and Amalgamations) Rules, 2016 it is presumed that these authorities and creditors have no representations to make.
9. In compliance with the directions issued by this Tribunal on 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017, on 30<sup>th</sup> May, 2017, the Petitioner Company has through its Director, filed an Affidavit of Service dated 29<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 in this Tribunal interalia stating that the orders of the Tribunal and applicable rules of the Companies (Compromise, Arrangements and Amalgamations) regarding newspaper publication of the notice of the hearing of the Company Scheme Petition have been complied with by the Petitioner company.
10. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Company states that the Petitioner Company has complied with all the requirements as per the directions passed in the Company Scheme Petition. Moreover, the Petitioner Company through their counsel undertakes to comply with all statutory requirements, if any, as required under the Companies Act, 2013 and rules made thereunder and also the Accounting Standards as notified by the Central Government under Section 133 of the Companies Act 2013 read together with paragraph 7 of the Companies (Account) Rules 2014 whichever is applicable. The said undertaking is accepted.
11. From the material available on record, the Scheme appears to be fair and reasonable and is not in violation of any provisions of law and is not in contrary to public policy. None concerned have come forward to oppose the scheme.

12. In my view, all requisite statutory compliances have been fulfilled. Hence, the Company Scheme Petition No. 364 of 2017 filed by the Petitioner Company is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (i).
13. The Petitioner Company to lodge a copy of this order and the scheme duly authenticated by the Deputy Director, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench with the concerned Superintendent of Stamps for the purpose of adjudication of stamp duty payable, if any, on the same within 60 days from the date of the receipt of the order.
14. Petitioner Company is directed to file a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the scheme with the concerned Registrar of Companies, electronically, along with E-form INC 28 in addition to the physical copy, within 30 days from the date of issuance of the order.
15. All authorities concerned to act on a certified copy of this order along with Scheme duly certified by the Deputy Director, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench.

Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2017

Sd/-  
M. K. Shrawat,  
Member (Judicial)