

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI BENCH

CSP NO. 313 OF 2017

AND

CSP NO. 314 OF 2017

Under Section 230-232 of the Companies Act,
2013

In the matter of Scheme of Amalgamation of
CRYSTALINE TEXTILES PRIVATE LIMITED, the
Transferor Company with CRYSTALINE
EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee
Company.

CRYSTALINE TEXTILES PRIVATE LIMITED

....Petitioner/ the Transferor Company

AND

CRYSTALINE EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED

....Petitioner/ the Transferee Company

Judgement/ order delivered on 20th July, 2017

Coram:

Hon'ble B.S.V. Prakash Kumar Hon'ble Member (J)

Hon'ble V. Nallasenapathy Hon'ble Member (T)

For the Petitioner(s): Mr. Rajesh Shah with Mr. Ahmed M Chunawala

i/b M/s. Rajesh Shah & Co., Advocate for the Petitioner.

Per : B.S.V. Prakash Kumar Hon'ble Member (J)

ORDER:

1. Heard learned counsel for parties. No objector has come before this Tribunal to oppose the Scheme and nor has any party controverted any averments made in the Petitions to the Scheme of Amalgamation of

CRYSTALINE TEXTILES PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferor Company with CRYSTALINE EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company.

2. The sanction of the Tribunal is sought under Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 to a Scheme of Amalgamation of CRYSTALINE TEXTILES PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferor Company with CRYSTALINE EXPORTS PRIVATE LIMITED, the Transferee Company.
3. The Petitioner Companies have approved the said Scheme of Amalgamation by passing the Board Resolutions which are annexed to the respective Company Scheme Petitions.
4. The Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioners states that the Petitions have been filed in consonance with the order passed in their Company Scheme Application Nos. 133 of 2017 and 134 of 2017 of the National Company Law Tribunal.
5. The Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the Petitioners further states that the Petitioner Companies have complied with all requirements as per directions of the National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench and they have filed necessary affidavits of compliance in the National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench. Moreover, Petitioner Companies undertake to comply with all the statutory requirements if any, as required under the Companies Act, 1956/2013 and the Rules made there under whichever is applicable. The said undertaking is accepted.
6. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners states that the Transferor Company has been carrying on the business of Spinners, weavers, knittes, twistes, processors, manufacturers, dyers, bleachers, ginneres, water profers, printers, combers, doublers, winders, blenders, packers, balers, importer and exporters of textiles, fabrics, cloth, cotton, wool, silk, artificial silk Fibres and the Transferee Company has been carrying on

the business of exporting, importing, trading, buying and selling of cotton yarn, textile, made-ups, linens, garments of cotton, wool, jute, silk, rayon, nylon, terylene and other natural, synthetic and/or fibrous substances either on its own account or on commission and to carry on the business in exporting, importing and trading in furnishing fabric, clothing, lingerie, hosiery and trimming of every kinds, kind, gloves, laces, feathers, leather and leather products of all handicrafts, antiques, precious and semi-precious stones, organic and inorganic chemicals. As per the opinion of the management the Transferor Company and the Transferee Company are under the same management and the management is of the opinion that the merger will lead to synergies of operations and more particularly the following benefits viz., both the Companies are under same Management and it would be advantageous to combine the activities and operations in a single Company and that the amalgamation would provide synergistic linkages besides economies in costs by combining the total business functions and the related activities and operations and thus contribute to the profitability of the amalgamated Company and that the amalgamated Company will have the benefit of the combined assets and cash flows of the two companies and that the combined resources of the amalgamated company will be conducive to enhance its capability to face competition in the market place more effectively and it will be conducive to better and more efficient and economical control and conduct of the Companies and with the enhanced capabilities and resources at its disposal, the amalgamated Company will have greater flexibility to compete more effectively and a larger and growing Company will mean enhanced financial and growth prospects for the people and organizations connected with the Company.

7. The Regional Director has filed a Report on 23rd day of June, 2017 stating therein, save and except as stated in paragraph IV, it appears that the Scheme is not prejudicial to the interest of shareholders and public. In paragraph IV of the said Report, the Regional Director has stated that:-

“IV. The observations of the Regional Director on the proposed Scheme to be considered by the Hon’ble NCLT are as under:

1. *The tax implication if any arising out of the scheme is subject to final decision of Income Tax Authorities. The approval of the scheme by this Hon’ble Court may not deter the Income Tax Authority to scrutinize the tax return filed by the transferee company after giving effect to the scheme. The decision of the Income Tax Authority is binding on the petitioner Company.*

2. *It is submitted that the Petitioner Companies have not submitted the proof of serving notice upon the Income Tax Authorities.*

In this regard petitioner has to be submit the proof of serving the notice to Income Tax Authorities as per the provisions of the section 230(5) of the Act, 2013.

3. Petitioner companies not submitted Minutes of order of the Hon’ble NCLT.

In this regard petitioner to undertake submit minutes of order for the record of Regional Director.

4. Company has not mentioned regarding accounting standard that would be adopted for accounting treatment proposed.

In this regard Petitioner Company to undertake to comply the applicable accounting standards in accordance with the provisions of the section 133 companies Act, 2013.

5. It may be observed from the balance sheet as at 31.03.2014, 31.03.2015 and 31.03.2016 the transferor company, Crystalline Textiles Private Limited has done following as per the financial statement.

Subject	31.03.2014	31.03.2015	31.03.2016	31.07.2016
Long term Borrowings	6,84,16,000/-	6,84,71,000/-	3,45,11,000/-	3,39,11,000/-
Trade Payables	22,140/-	60,750/-	60,750/-	-
Non-Current Investments	3,50,50,000/-	3,50,50,000/-	3,50,50,000/-	3,50,50,000/-

Long Term loans and advances	3,47,07,879/-	3,47,07,879/-	Nil	-
Cash and bank balances	10,019/-	20,639/-	6,93,248/-	23,248/-

From the above it appears company has not been doing business as per the object Long term loan has been repaid in the year ended 2016 corresponding effect is not shown anywhere.

8. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (a) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petitioner Company undertakes to comply with all applicable provisions of the Income-tax Act and all tax issues arising out of the Scheme of Amalgamation will be met and answered in accordance with law.
9. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (b) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits they have served the notice to Income Tax Authorities. The Petitioner Companies have submitted the notice to IT they have submitted the same by way of Affidavit-in-reply to Regional Director and the National Company Law Tribunal
10. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (c) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits the Minutes of the Order they have submitted the same by way of Affidavit-in-reply to Regional Director and the National Company Law Tribunal
11. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (d) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petitioner Companies has submitted to this Hon'ble Tribunal the Certificate from the Auditors stating that the accounting

treatment proposed in the Scheme is in conformity with the accounting standards prescribed under section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013.

12. So far as the observation in paragraph IV (e) of the Report of the Regional Director is concerned, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petitioner Companies have explained the same by way of Affidavit-in-reply to Regional Director and the National Company Law Tribunal
13. The observations made by the Regional Director have been explained by the Petitioner Companies in Para 8 to 12 above. The clarifications and undertakings given by the Petitioner Companies are accepted by the Tribunal.
14. The Official Liquidator has filed his report on 31st March, 2017 in the Transferred Company Scheme Petition No. 314 of 2017 inter alia, stating therein that the affairs of the Transferor Company have been conducted in a proper manner and that the Transferor Company may be ordered to be dissolved by this Tribunal.
15. From the material on record, the Scheme appears to be fair and reasonable and is not violative of any provisions of law and is not contrary to public policy.
16. Since all the requisite statutory compliances have been fulfilled, Company Petition No. 314 of 2017 is made absolute in terms of prayers clause (a) to (d) and 315 of 2017 is made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) to (c).
17. Petitioners are directed to lodge a copy of this order along with a copy of the Scheme of Amalgamation with the concerned Registrar of Companies, electronically along with E-Form INC-28, in addition to physical copy, as per the relevant provisions of the Companies Act 1956 / 2013.

18. The Petitioner Companies to lodge a copy of this order and the Scheme duly certified by the Deputy Registrar, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench, with the concerned Superintendent of Stamps, for the purpose of adjudication of stamp duty payable within 60 days from the date of receipt of the order, if any.
19. The Petitioner Companies to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- each to the Regional Director, Western Region, Mumbai and the Petitioner in the Company Petition No. 314 of 2017 to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- to the Official Liquidator, High Court, Bombay. Cost to be paid within four weeks from the date of receipt of the Order.
20. All concerned regulatory authorities to act on a copy of this order along with Scheme duly authenticated by the Deputy Registrar, National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai.

Sd/-	Sd/-
V. Nallasenapathy Member (T)	B.S.V. Prakash Kumar Member (J)

1891-1892

1892-1893

1893-1894

1894-1895

1895-1896

1896-1897

1897-1898

1898-1899

1899-1900

1900-1901

1901-1902

1902-1903

1903-1904

1904-1905

1905-1906

1906-1907

1907-1908

1908-1909

1909-1910

1910-1911

1911-1912

1912-1913

1913-1914

1914-1915

1915-1916

1916-1917

1917-1918

1918-1919

1919-1920

1920-1921

1921-1922

1922-1923