T.C.P No.31/(MAH)/2015

CORAM:

Present:

SHRI M. K. SHRAWAT MEMBER (J)

22

SHRI BHASKARA PANTULA MOHAN MEMBER (J)

ATTENDENCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF MUMBAI BENCH OF THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 08.01.2018

NAME OF THE PARTIES:

Mr. Dharamdas N. Mehta V/s. M/s. Meridian Construction Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397/398 of the Companies Act 1956 and 241/242 of the Companies Act, 2013.

<u>S. No.</u>	NAME	DESIGNATION	SIGNATURE
1.	M.C. BINDI DA HR, IESHAN S	VE 2 ADVOCATEL FOR PETITIONERS INMA 2 4 b WADIA GHANDY & CO.	restative
2. 3. 1	Mas Hanisha gr. Melind	Regadia Advo cate fir Recognident Recognident Recognident	Mana
4. M	s. Deepa Bis Mr. Tushar (	ht ilb. 7 Advocate fo 10 radia J. Proposed Reg	pondents Deepa
			(Comted

# -2-ORDER TCP 31/397-398/NCLT/MB/MAH/2015

- Placed on record an Affidavit affirming therein that the Company has made a Resolution approving the "Settlement". Further affirmed that all the Directors named in the Petition and their DIN is operative.
- It has been affirmed that all the parties of this litigation have consented for mutual settlement. Consent Terms has been placed on record. Accordingly, this Petition is disposed of subject to the Consent terms. Consent Terms to be made part of the Order. To be consigned to Records.
- Injunction(s), if any in operation, pending Application(s) and Contempt Petition have become inoperative and stood merged with this Final Order.

Sd/- ~ 1

BHASKARA PANTULA MOHAN Member (Judicial) Date : 08.01.2018

Sd/-

M.K. SHRAWAT Member (Judicial)

#### BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

## (MUMBAI BENCH)

#### COMPANY PETITION NO. 31 OF 2015

#### (SECTIONS 397 & 398 OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956)

... PETITIONERS

DHARAMDAS NANDLAL MEHTA & ANR.

VS.

MERIDIAN CONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LTD. & ORS ... RESPONDENTS

### FURTHER CONSENT TERMS

- 1. Consent Terms dated 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 have been complied with by the parties in all respects.
- 2. Accordingly, parties hereby further agree that:
  - the Company Petition to stand disposed of; (a)
  - (b) all orders passed in the Company Petition and Company Applications filed therein, to stand vacated;

all claims, statements, allegations and insinuations made by the parties in their respective pleadings in the captioned proceedings and otherwise to stand withdrawn;

neither party has any claims against the other.

e.

(Leg (c)

Dated this g day of January, 2018.

Petitioner No.1 Idas N. hente)

Respondent No.1 Company

que

(through its Director Mr. Harresh Navnitrai Mehta, Director, authorized to sign these consent terms vide resolution dated 12 12 28 board of directors of Respondent No.1 Company)

Petitioner No.2 CBITAVESH, D. MEHIA )

Respondent No. 2 Mr. Harresh Navnitrai Mehta,

Respondent No. 3 Rohan Developers Pvt. Ltd.

Partner For Wadia Ghandy & Co

Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. Surin Usgaonkar

Advocate for Respondent No.1

Mrs. Manisha Kapadia

Advocate for Respondent No.2

Fr Ms. Amruta Ghone

-

E

Mana

Advocate for Respondent No.3