

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH, MUMBAI

C.S.P. No. 18/(MAH)/2017

CORAM:

Present:


SHRI B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR
MEMBER (J)

SHRI V. NALLASENAPATHY
MEMBER (T)

ATTENDENCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF MUMBAI BENCH OF THE
NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON 01.02.2018

NAME OF THE PARTIES: M/s. Famous Studio Ltd.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 391 to 394 of the Companies Act 1956
and 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013.

S. No.	NAME	DESIGNATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Atika Vaz, i/by Khaitan & Co.	Advocate for Petitioner company	

ORDER
CSP 18/230-232/NCLT/MB/MAH/2017

On the Withdrawal Memo filed by the Petitioner Counsel for
withdrawal of Company Petition, the Company Petition CSP 18 of 2017 is
hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

V. NALLASENAPATHY
Member (Technical)

Sd/-

B.S.V. PRAKASH KUMAR
Member (Judicial)

Encl : Withdrawal Memo

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH,
MUMBAI

TRANSFERRED COMPANY SCHEME PETITION NO 18 OF 2017

ARISING FROM

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CONNECTED WITH

COMPANY SUMMONS FOR DIRECTION NO 924 OF 2016



In the matter of the Companies Act
1956 Sections 391 to 394 of the
Companies Act, 1956

AND

In the matter of Scheme of
Arrangement and Reconstruction
under Sections 391 to 394 of the
Companies Act 1956 and applicable
provisions of the Companies Act,
2013 between Famous Studios
Limited, the Promoters of Famous
Studios Limited and the Public
Shareholders of Famous Studio
Limited

FAMOUS STUDIOS LIMITED

...PETITIONER COMPANY

MEMO

It is respectfully submitted that the Petitioner is desirous of withdrawing the captioned
Petition and has instructed its Advocates for the same vide its email dated 1 February
2018. It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to allow the
Petitioner to withdraw the captioned petition.

Hence this memo.

Date 1 February 2018
Mumbai


Advocate for the Petitioner