IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH, HYDERABAD

CP (IB) 143/9/HDB/2017 U/S 9 of IBC, 2016, R/w Rule 6 of I & B (AAA) Rules, 2016

In the matter of

M/s Sudhakaran Nair & Company Pvt. Ltd Essenco House, 537 "B" Block, AECS Layout Kundalahalli, Bangalore - 560037

...Petitioner / Operational Creditor

<u>Versus</u>

Ramky Infrastructure Ltd Ramky Grandiose, 15th Floor Sy. No. 136/2 & 4, Gachibowli Hyderabad - 500032

... Respondent/ Corporate Debtor

Date of order: 14.08.2017

CORAM:

AT felse signal was a second of the second o

Hon'ble Shri Rajeswara Rao Vittanala, Member (Judicial)

Hon'ble Shri Ravikumar Duraisamy, Member (Technical)

Parties/ Counsels present

For the Petitioner :

Shri J.V. Rao, Advocate

For the Respondent:

Shri Avinash Desai, Shri Siva Darshan, Shri

Khamar Kantamneni, Advocates

Per: Rajeswara Rao Vittanala, Member (J)

<u>ORDER</u>

The present company petition bearing CP(IB) No. 143/9/HDB/2017, is filed under Section 9 of Insolvency of Bankruptcy Code, 2016, R/w Rule 6 of Insolvency and Bankruptcy (Application to Adjudicating Authority) Rules, 2016 by M/s Sudhakaran Nair & Company Private Ltd by seeking to initiate Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process against M/s Ramky Infrastructure Ltd.

- 2. Heard Shri J.V. Rao, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Avinash Desai, Siva Darshan and Khamar Kantamneni, Learned Counsels for the Respondent.
- 3. The case was listed for admission on 9.8.2017 and posted to 11.8.2017, as none appeared for both the parties. The case was again taken up on 11.8.2017. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submit that he will comply with the objections raised by the Registry vide letter dated 7.8.2017. Even today, when the case was listed, the objections have not been complied with. So the Petition is incomplete.
- 5. In the light of above defects in the petition, the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner pray the Tribunal to permit him to withdraw the present company petition, with a liberty to file fresh company petition in accordance with law. He has also filed a memo dated 14.08.2017 to that extent.
- 6. The Learned Counsel for the Respondent while not opposing the request of the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner to withdraw the company petition, however, has raised objection for liberty to file fresh petition.
- 7. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present company Petition bearing No. CP (IB) 143/9/HDB/2017 is disposed of as withdrawn, by granting liberty to the Petitioner to file a fresh petition for the same cause of action, after duly following law.

RAVIKUMAR DURAISAMY MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

Por Dy. Regr./Asst. Regr/Court Officer/ National Company Law Tribunal, Hyderabad Banch RAJESWARA RAO VITTANALA MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

प्रमणित प्रति CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

केस संख्या CASE NUMBERC PAR 143/9/1103/2007

निर्णय का तारीख DATE OF JUDGEMENT 14 8 2017 प्रति तैयार किया गया तारीख COPY MADE READY ON 5 12 907

TOTAL COMPANY OF COMPA