IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL HYDERABAD BENCH, HYDERABAD

CP.407/2016 U/S 433, 434 & 439 (1) (b) of the Companies Act, 1956

In the matter of

M/s Interface Flor India Private Limited G-1, Pride Elite 10, Museum Road Bangalore – 560001 Karnataka

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL

....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Waterbuck Technologies Private Limited D.No. 8-2-248/B/3/4, Plot No.8, R.K. Towers Journalist Colony, Road No.3, Banjara Hills Hyderabad – 500034 Telangana

...Respondent

Date of order: 03.08.2017

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri Rajeswara Rao Vittanala, Member (Judicial) Hon'ble Shri Ravikumar Duraisamy, Member (Technical)

Parties Present

For the Applicant Company: S

Shri A. Venkatesh along with Shri C. Tulasi Krishna and Shri

A.R.C. Shekar Goud,

Advocates

Per: Rajeswara Rao Vittanala, Member (Judicial)



ORDER

- Heard Shri A. Venkatesh, Learned Counsel along with Shri C. Tulasi Krishna and Shri A.R.C. Shekar Goud, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner.
- 2. The present company petition bearing CP No.407/2016 is filed by M/s Interface Flor India Private Limited against M/s Waterbuck Technologies Private Limited under Sections 433, 434 and 439 of the Companies Act, 1956, before Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh, by inter-alia, seeking a direction to wind up the Company, by order of this court, under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956.
- The case was transferred to this Bench from the Hon'ble 3. Court of Judicature at Hyderabad by an order dated 9.2.2017, in pursuant to the notification of Companies (Removal of Difficulties) Fourth Order, 2016 and Companies (Transfer of Pending Proceedings) Rules, 2016 issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, New Delhi, and the case is covered under this GO. As no notice has been issued by Hon'ble High Court, the case is transferred to this Bench. On transfer, this case was 8.6.2017, 19.4.17. 13.6.2017, 7.4.17, on listed 27.6.2017, 10.7.2017, 26.07.2017 and today.
- Today Shri A.R.C. Sehekar Goud, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submit that he wants to withdraw the present company petition. He has also filed a memo to that extent.



5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the Company Petition bearing CP No.407/2016 is dismissed as withdrawn.



6. No order as to costs.

Ravikumar Duraisamy Member (T) Rajeswara Rao Vittanala Member (J)

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL

V. ANNAPOORNA
Asst. DIRECTOR
NCLT, HYDERABAD.

त्रमणित प्रति CERTIFIED TRUE COPY